## SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK NEW YORK COUNTY

PRESENT:	JA	FFE	<u>.</u>	PART	12
ERISAN WIN	LOUCHBY (	Justice		INDEX NO.	55976/
ERISON + WILL ROYALE ETANI				MOTION DATE	7)
COYALE E 744	A, 222,	744.		MOTION SEQ. (	NO. <u>② Z</u>
The following papers, numb	ered 1 to, w	ere read on this motion	to/for	~	7.00
Notice of Motion/Order to S	how Cause Affid	avits — Exhibits		No(s) <u>0</u>	A 12 mm
Answering Affidavits — Ex	hibits			No(s). <u>3</u>	8-45
Replying Affidavits	$\longrightarrow$			No(s)	<del></del>
Upon the foregoing paper	s, it is ordered th	at this motion is			
:	$\sim$	•			
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	S.			•
		~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~		. •	
		XX	•		•
		``\O_x - <u>-</u>	AARDAMAE V	HTTH	
		DECIDED MAC	COKDANCE *	NIORDER	
	·	ACCOMPANY	M DECISION	And the same and a	
·	•	```			
		•			
			• 🔿		:
	· · ·		×,	?	
. :				•	
		:			
				•	•
λ	•				
$\sim$					
<b>~</b> 0,		•			
10 s				21	
Dated: 2 8 4	<u> </u>		_	10	, J.S
YO x				1	
CK ONE:		🗹 CASE DISPO	SED	✓ NON-FII	NAL DISPOSITION
CK AS APPROPRIATE:	MOTIO	$\hookrightarrow$	DENIED	GRANTED IN PAI	
CK IF APPROPRIATE:	1/2	SETTLE ORDI	_	SUBMIT	
SK IF AFFRUFRIA I C	**********************	SETTEE ORDI		L) GODIHI I	-110-11

MOTION/CASE (S. RESPECTFULLY REFERRED TO JUSTICE.

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK : IAS PART 12

----**-**X

KERISON & WILLOUGHBY CAPITAL, LTD,

Index No. 155976/2013

XYOUND ONLY

Petitioner,

Mot. seq. no. 02

-against-

DECISION AND JUDGMENT

ROYAL ETENIA, LLC, MORTIMER SINGER, RACHEL ROY, and DAMON DASH,

Respondents.

BARBARA JAFFE, J.:

For petitioner:

Martin S. Rappaport, Esq. Martin S. Rappaport, PC 18 East 48<sup>th</sup> St. New York, New York 10017 212-688-1980 For respondents:

Eric Howard, Esq. 244 Fifth Ave. New York, NY 10001 917-650-8720

By order to show cause, petitioner moves for an order declaring that the restraining order provided for in a settlement agreement between the parties bars and prohibits respondents from entering into or implementing any agreement with a nonparty or any affiliate or subsidiary of the nonparty which undermines or reduces petitioner's ability to satisfy the judgment by altering the manner or form in which respondent Royale Etenia obtained or received earnings or distributions from Rachel Roy Intellectual Property Company LLC; extending the existing restraining order to the nonparty and or any entity conducting business between that entity or any of its affiliates in which respondent Dash may have a direct or indirect interest, ownership or entitlement; granting leave to add a nonparty entity as a party-respondent in this proceeding and to add its name to the caption; granting leave to conduct discovery of respondents Roy, Royale Etenia, and the nonparty

entity to determine the business relationship which the nonparty entity and Roy or anyone else may have or is in the process of formulating with respect to Roy's business, brands and trademarks, and the interest, ownership or entitlement which Dash may have either directly or indirectly in such business; and declaring that the settlement agreement has been breached by Royal Etenia and/or Dash and that the installment payment provisions and settlement amount provisions therein are of no further force and effect, and that the amount of the judgment which Dash is required to pay is \$406,370, less any amount actually already paid by Royale Etenia to petitioner and that the restraining order and denial of the Dash motion to vacate the judgment in the order survives the elimination of the said installment payment and settlement amount provision.

Upon the papers submitted by pethioner, and after hearing the parties at oral argument held on October 15, 2014, it is hereby

ORDERED, that petitioner's motion is granted to the following extent; it is further ADJUDGED AND DECLARED, that the settlement agreement/order dated August 14, 2013 has been breached by Royal Etenia and/or Dash, and that the installment payment provisions and settlement amount provisions therein are of no further force and effect, and that the amount of the judgment which Dash is required to pay is \$406,370, less \$137,131.47, the amount actually already paid by Royale Etenia to petitioner, for a total amount due of \$269,238.53; and it is further

ADJUDGED AND DECLARED, that the restraining order and denial of the Dash motion ine in the second secon to vacate the judgment in the order survives the elimination of the said installment payment and

settlement amount provision.

\* Alecta Smine BRAND Con

ENTER:

\* COM Barbara Jaffe, J&C

DATED:

December 5, 2014

New York, New York

\* Cot